

R. K. LINDSEY, Chair  
BOB BALGENORTH, Vice Chair  
JAMES C. GHIELMETTI  
JEREMIAH F. HALLISEY  
ALLEN M. LAWRENCE  
DIANNE McKENNA  
JOSEPH TAVAGLIONE  
ESTEBAN E. TORRES

SENATOR KEVIN MURRAY, Ex Officio  
ASSEMBLYMAN JOHN DUTRA, Ex Officio

DIANE C. EIDAM, Executive Director

STATE OF CALIFORNIA



GRAY DAVIS  
GOVERNOR

## **CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

1120 N STREET, MS-52  
P. O. BOX 942873  
SACRAMENTO, 94273-0001  
FAX (916) 653-2134  
FAX (916) 654-4364  
(916) 654-4245

### **NOTICE AND AGENDA**

### **FOR**

### **CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

### **MEETING**

**SEPTEMBER 24-25, 2003**

**SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA**

A copy of this meeting notice and agenda will be posted 10 days prior to the meeting and related book items will be posted 5 days prior to the meeting on the California Transportation Commission Website: [www.catc.ca.gov](http://www.catc.ca.gov)

Questions or inquiries about this meeting may be directed to the Commission staff at (916) 654-4245. If any special accommodations are needed for persons with disabilities, please contact Carol Peterson at (916) 654-4245. Requests for special accommodations should be made as soon as possible but at least five days prior to the scheduled meeting.

Persons attending the meeting who wish to address the California Transportation Commission on a subject to be considered at this meeting are asked to complete a Speaker Request Card and give it to the Executive Assistant prior to the discussion of the item. If you would like to present handouts/written material to the California Transportation Commission at the meeting, please provide a minimum of 25 copies.

# **AGENDA**

## **CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

<http://www.catc.ca.gov>

**September 24-25, 2003**

**Sacramento, California**

---

**NOTICE:** **Agenda items, other than those assigned a specific time and date, may be taken up on either day of the two-day Commission meeting.**

### **Wednesday, September 24, 2003**

**12:00 p.m.                      Mass Transportation Committee Meeting**

State Office Building No. 9  
Auditorium, Room 102  
744 P Street  
Sacramento, California

**2:00 p.m.                      Commission Meeting**

State Office Building No. 9  
Auditorium, Room 102  
744 P Street  
Sacramento, California

**7:00 p.m.                      Commissioners' Dinner**

Alamar Marina Floating Restaurant  
5999 Garden Highway  
Sacramento, California

### **Thursday, September 25, 2003**

**8:30 a.m.                      Commission Meeting**

Department of Water Resources  
Auditorium, First Floor  
1416 Ninth Street  
Sacramento, California

#### **CLOSED SESSIONS PERTAINING TO LITIGATION:**

**Closed Session (No. 1)** - The Commission will meet in closed session to confer with legal counsel pursuant to Government Code section 11126(e)(2)(B).

**Closed Session (No. 2)** - The Commission will meet in closed session, pursuant to Government Code section 11126(e)(2)(A) to confer with legal counsel with regard to the following litigation: *El Dorado Co. v. Department of Transportation, California Transportation Commission, et al. and Voices for Rural Living et al. v. Department of Transportation, California Transportation Commission, et al.*, consolidated as Case No. 03CS00003, Sacramento County Superior Court.

**Closed Session (No. 3)** - The Commission will meet in closed session, pursuant to Government Code section 11126(e)(2)(A) to confer with legal counsel with regard to the following litigation: *In Re Cone Engineering Contractors, Inc., debtor*, United States Bankruptcy Court For the Northern District of California, Case No. 02-45803-J-11.

**NOTE: The Commission will convene and then move immediately into Closed Session. Following the Closed Sessions, the Commission will reconvene in Open Session.**

#### **NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED CTC MEETINGS (Subject to Change):**

**CTC Committee Meetings – October 14, 2003 – Sacramento**

**CTC Commission Meeting – October 30, 2003 – Redding**

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
--	-------------------------	---------------	--	----------------

\* "A" denotes an "Action" item; "I" denotes an "Information" item; "B" denotes a Business, Transportation and Housing (B,T & H) Agency item; "C" denotes a "Commission" item; "D" denotes a "Department" item; and "R" denotes a Regional Agency item.

**GENERAL BUSINESS**

1.

- Roll Call 1.(1) I C
- Approval of Minutes for August 14, 2003 Meeting 1.(2) A C
- Commission Reports 1.(3) A C
- Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation 1.(4) A C

**BUSINESS, TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING AGENCY REPORT**

- Report by Secretary and/or Assistant Secretary 1.(5) I B

**CALTRANS REPORT**

- Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director 1.(6) I D

**REGIONAL AGENCIES AND RURAL COUNTIES REPORT**

- Report by Regional Agencies Moderator 1.(7) I R
- Report by Rural Counties Task Force Chair 1.(8) I R

**INFORMATION CALENDAR**

IC

I C

- To Be Determined

**CONSENT CALENDAR**

CC

A C

- To Be Determined

**PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION MATTERS**

- To Be Determined

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<b><u>PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS</u></b>	2.		
	<b><u>Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Action</u></b>	2.1a		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-099 The San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG) and Department of Transportation (Department) are re-requesting this amendment. The Department and SANBAG propose to shift \$19,781,000 of Regional Improvement Program (RIP) Construction funds in FY 2003-04 from the Route 210 Corridor project (PPNO 0193S) to the Route 215 project (PPNO 0247D) in FY 2003-04 for Construction. This is a revenue neutral programming action. (Noticed at the August 2003 CTC meeting.)</li> </ul>	2.1a.(1)		<b>A D</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-100 The Ventura County Transportation Commission (VCTC) is requesting this amendment. VCTC proposes to unprogram a total of \$3,729,000 of Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds in FY 2003-04 for construction of the following two projects: \$1,631,000 from the Highway 23 Widening project (PPNO 1167D) and \$2,098,000 from the Highway 118 Widening project (PPNO 1238J). VCTC proposes to utilize the savings from the above projects by programming an equal amount of RIP funds in FY 2004-05 and advancing the construction of the following two projects from FY 2005-06 to FY 2004-05: \$1,052,000 to the Camarillo Metrolink Station Improvements (PPNO 9116F) and \$2,677,000 to the Camarillo Station Park and Ride Lot Expansion (PPNO 3125) projects. A total of \$3,000,000 in RIP funds in FY 2005-06 will revert back to Ventura County's unprogrammed share balance. (Noticed at the August 2003 CTC meeting.)</li> </ul>	2.1a.(2)		<b>A D</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-101 The Department of Transportation (Department), Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) and San Benito Council of Governments (COG) are requesting this amendment. The Department, Santa Clara VTA and San Benito COG request the Commission approve a replacement project per AB 3090, to replace the currently programmed funds for Environmental work of the "Route 25 Widening" project (PPNO 4854) in Santa Clara and San Benito counties. Environmental work is currently programmed for \$1,700,000 in Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds in FY 2006-07. The Santa Clara VTA and the County of San Benito will use local funds in FY 2003-04 for the Department to complete Environmental work for the project. Santa Clara VTA is requesting to program a replacement project for \$1,700,000 in FY 2006-07, an alternative project will be identified at a later date. This is a revenue neutral programming action. (Noticed at the August 2003 CTC meeting.)</li> </ul>	2.1a.(3)		<b>A D</b>

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-102 The Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) is requesting this amendment. RCTC proposes to designate the Route 60/91/215 Interchange project (PPNO 0121D) in Riverside County as a reimbursement project per AB 3090. The Commission approved this project for Grant Anticipation Revenue Vehicle (GARVEE) funding at the June 2003 Commission meeting. RCTC proposes to use \$33,915,000 of Local funds to advance the Construction phase now for later Regional Improvement Program (RIP) reimbursement of \$31,324,000 in FY 2006-07. This is a revenue neutral programming action. (Noticed at August 2003 CTC meeting.)</li> </ul>	2.1a.(4)		A D
	<b><u>Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Notice</u></b>	2.1b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-103 The San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments (SGVCOG) is requesting this amendment. SGVCOG proposes to designate Temple Avenue Train Diversion project in the City of Pomona, which is a part of the Alameda Corridor East project (PPNO 2318), as a reimbursement project per AB 3090. Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) will provide a total of \$1,766,000 of local funds (Proposition C) to SGVCOG in FY 2003-04 to complete the Right of Way (R/W) phase now for later Regional Improvement Program (RIP) reimbursement in FY 2005-06. This is a revenue neutral programming action.</li> </ul>	2.1b.		I D
	<b><u>Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Application Approvals/Amendments</u></b>	2.1c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approvals – <b><u>No Items this Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.1c.(1)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval. One application amendment requesting \$14,000,000 in new funding. Resolution TAA-03-10, Amending Resolution TA-02-06</li> </ul>	2.1c.(2)		A C/D
	-- Project #37.2 – Los Angeles Mid-City Transit Improvements; build Light Rail Transit system along Exposition Blvd. in Los Angeles County. Amend application to program an additional \$14,000,000 in TCR funding for Phase 1 – Environmental and Permits (ENV) and update schedule for Phase 1 – ENV, as well as overall project cost and schedule. Original TCR application approved under Resolution TA-02-06. The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority is requesting this amendment. <i>(Related item under 2.1c.(4).)</i>			

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Minor Amendments Approval – <b><u>No Items this Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.1c.(3)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Letter of No Prejudice (Letter) Approvals. Six Letter requests totaling \$19,710,000. Resolution TL-03-01</li> <li>-- Project #27.3 – \$980,000 for Phase 4 for Vasco Road Safety and Transit Enhancement Project in Alameda and Contra Costa Counties – Valley Center ACE Parking Structure. Applicant Agency: Alameda County Congestion Management Agency</li> <li>-- Project #37.2 – \$14,000,000 for Phase 1 for Los Angeles Mid-City Transit Improvements; build Light Rail Transit system along Exposition Blvd. Applicant Agency: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority. <i>(Related item under 2.1c.(2).)</i></li> <li>-- Project #74.5 – \$3,288,000 for Phase 4 for Pacific Surfliner; double track intercity rail line within San Diego County, add maintenance yard in San Diego County – Encinitas Passing Track. Applicant Agency: San Diego North County Transit District (NCTD)</li> <li>-- Project #141 – \$200,000 for Phase 2 for Union City; pedestrian bridge over Union Pacific rail lines. Applicant Agency: City of Union City</li> <li>-- Project #152 – \$692,000 for Phase 4 for Pasadena Gold Line transit-oriented mixed-use development. Applicant Agency: City of South Pasadena</li> <li>-- Project #153 – \$550,000 for Phase 4 for Pasadena Gold Line utility relocation. Applicant Agency: City of South Pasadena</li> </ul>	2.1c.(4)	A	C/D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval. Two application amendments, no change in net funding. Resolution TAA-03-11, Amending Resolutions TA-01-06 and TA-01-15</li> <li>-- Project #9.2 – Capitol Corridor; improve intercity rail line between Oakland and San Jose, and at Jack London Square and Emeryville stations in Alameda and Santa Clara Counties – Emeryville Station Track and Platform Improvements. Amend application to program \$1,750,000 in TCR funds, update schedule and funding plan, and identify a staged construction plan. Original TCR application approved under Resolution TA-01-06. The Capitol Corridor Joint Powers Authority (CCJPA) is requesting this amendment. <i>(Related item under 2.6e.(1).)</i></li> </ul>	2.1c.(5)	A	C/D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval. <b>(CONTINUED)</b></li> </ul>	2.1c.(5)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Project #9.3 – Capitol Corridor; improve intercity rail line between Oakland and San Jose, and at Jack London Square and Emeryville stations in Alameda and Santa Clara Counties - Jack London Square Station Track Improvements. Amend application to revise project scope, to de-program (\$1,750,000) in TCR funds, and update schedule and funding plan. Original TCR application approved under Resolution TA-01-15. The CCJPA is requesting this amendment. <i>(Related item under 2.6e.(1).)</i></li> </ul>			
	<b><u>Proposition 116 – Non-Urban County Project Approvals/Amendments – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.1d.		
	<b><u>Proposition 116 – Rail Project Approvals/Amendments – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.1e.		
	<b><u>Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) Program Amendment Approvals – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.1f.		
	<b><u>Environmental Matters</u></b>	2.2		
	<b><u>Environmental Matters – Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.2a.		
	<b><u>Environmental Matters – Comments on Documents in Circulation (Draft EIS/EIR)</u></b>	2.2b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Route 101 in Sonoma County – Widen to six lanes in Santa Rosa (DEIR).</li> </ul>	2.2b.	A	D
	<b><u>Environmental Matters – Approval of Projects for Future Consideration of Funding, Route Adoption or New Public Road Connection (Final Negative Declaration or EIR)</u></b>	2.2c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 101 in Mendocino County – Realign a curve near Leggett (ND). Resolution E-03-22</li> </ul>	2.2c.(1)	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 128 in Mendocino County – Replace a bridge in Boonville (ND). Resolution E-03-23</li> </ul>	2.2c.(2)	A	D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Routes 5 and 299 in Shasta County – Construct an access ramp and replace a bridge in Redding (ND). Resolution E-03-24</li> </ul>	2.2c.(3)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 96 in Siskiyou County – Replace a culvert near Seiad Valley (ND). Resolution E-03-25</li> </ul>	2.2c.(4)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 193 in Placer County – Construct a left-turn lane near Lincoln (ND). Resolution E-03-26</li> </ul>	2.2c.(5)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 80 in Contra Costa County – Construct an HOV lane in each direction near Crockett (ND). Resolution E-03-27</li> </ul>	2.2c.(6)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 101 in Santa Barbara County – Construct a bikeway and northbound auxiliary lane near Summerland (ND). Resolution E-03-28</li> </ul>	2.2c.(7)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 166 in Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo Counties – Overlay existing pavement and widen existing lanes and shoulders in the town of New Cuyama (ND). Resolution E-03-29</li> </ul>	2.2c.(8)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 192 in Santa Barbara County – Replace a bridge near Carpinteria (ND). Resolution E-03-30</li> </ul>	2.2c.(9)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 90 in Orange County – Construct a grade separation in Anaheim and Yorba Linda (ND). Resolution E-03-31</li> </ul>	2.2c.(10)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval of Project for Consideration of Funding – Route 880/Coleman Avenue in Santa Clara County – Reconstruct an existing interchange in San Jose (FEIR). Resolution E-03-32</li> </ul>	2.2c.(11)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – BART Fremont to Warm Springs Extension (TCRP #1) (FSEIR). Resolution E-03-33</li> </ul>	2.2c.(12)		A C

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<b><u>Highway Route Matters</u></b>	2.3		
	• Route Adoption – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b>	2.3a.		
	• One New Public Road Connection to State Route 101 at Springville Drive 07-Ven-101 KP 27.0 (PM 16.8) in the City of Camarillo Resolution S-723	2.3b.	A	D
	• Three Relinquishment Resolutions	2.3c.	A	D
	-- 04-Sol-80-PM R25.9 Route 80 in the City of Vacaville Resolution R-3555			
	-- 08-SBd-66-PM 10.9/17.1 Route 66 in the City of Fontana Resolution R-3556			
	-- 09-Mno-395-KP 82.06 Route 395 in the County of Mono Resolution R-3557			
	• Vacation Resolutions – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b>	2.3d.		
	<b><u>Highway Right of Way Matters</u></b>	2.4		
	• One Resolution of Necessity – Appearance Henry F. Bocchini, et ux. 06-Fre-180-KP 102.3 Resolution C-18834	2.4a.	A	D
	• 12 Resolutions of Necessity Resolutions C-18835 Through C-18846	2.4b.	A	D
	• One Airspace Lease Direct Negotiations McCall Family Trust (Toyota Central) 07-LAX-010-0048,50,90	2.4c.	A	D
	• Director's Deeds Items #1 Through #6 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$1,240,760 Return to Others: \$0	2.4d.	A	D
	<b><u>Highway Financial Matters</u></b>			
	\$154,368,132 Total State Funds Requested for Allocation \$490,000,000 GARVEE Bond Authorization Requested <u>\$115,885,600</u> Contributions from Other Sources \$760,253,732 Grand Total			
	• Financial Allocation for Six Minor Projects totaling \$2,586,000. Resolution FP-03-____	2.5a.(1)	A	D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Two Minor Projects not designated for allocation in the FY-03-04 SHOPP Allocation Plan totaling \$686,000.</li> </ul>	2.5a.(1.1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Two Minor SHOPP Projects totaling \$947,000. Resolution FP-03-____</li> </ul>	2.5a.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Three Minor SHOPP Safety Projects totaling \$2,030,000. Resolution FP-03-____</li> </ul>	2.5a.(3)		A D
	<b><u>Financial Allocations for STIP/SHOPP Projects On the State Highway System</u></b>	2.5b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Two STIP Projects On the State Highway System totaling \$68,611,000. Resolution FP-03-____</li> </ul>	2.5b.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for One SHOPP Project designated for allocation in the Proposed FY-03-04 SHOPP Allocation Plan for \$500,000. Resolution FP-03-____</li> </ul>	2.5b.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One SHOPP Project not designated for allocation in the Proposed FY-03-04 SHOPP Allocation Plan for \$2,868,000.</li> </ul>	2.5b.(2.1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Three SHOPP Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Departmental action totaling \$73,144,000. Resolution FP-03-____</li> </ul>	2.5b.(3)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Two SHOPP Managed TEA Projects on the State Highway System designated for allocation in the Proposed FY-03-04 SHOPP Allocation Plan totaling \$800,000, plus \$334,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-03-____</li> </ul>	2.5b.(4)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Locally Administered STIP Projects on the State Highway – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5b.(5)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Grant Anticipation Revenue Vehicle (GARVEE) Bonds: STIP Projects – Interstate 15 Managed Lanes, Interstate 880/Coleman Interchange, and Riverside 60/91/215, totaling \$490,000,000, plus \$114,858,600 from other sources. Resolution FG-03-____</li> </ul>	2.5b.(6)		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<b><u>Financial Allocations/Amendments for Local Assistance STIP Projects Off the State Highway System</u></b>	2.5c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Three Local Assistance STIP Projects off the State Highway System totaling \$626,000, plus \$693,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-03-____</li> </ul>	2.5c.(1)	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for One Local Assistance Statewide Transportation Enhancements (STE) Project for \$22,132. Resolution FP-03-____</li> </ul>	2.5c.(2)	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Local Assistance Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) Projects – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5c.(3)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Local Assistance Conservation Lands (CL) Projects – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5c.(4)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for One Local Assistance STIP Project in Monterey County for Road Pavement and Rehabilitation for \$200,000. Resolution FP-03-____</li> </ul>	2.5c.(5)	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation Local Assistance STIP Projects for Project Development Components of Local Grants Projects – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5c.(6)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation Local Assistance STIP Projects for RSTP/CMAQ Match Reserve – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5c.(7)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Four Local Assistance STIP Projects for Planning, Programming and Monitoring totaling \$602,000. Resolution FP-03-____</li> </ul>	2.5c.(8)	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation Local Assistance STIP Projects for Regional Rideshare – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5c.(9)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Projects that exceed 20 Percent of their Programmed Amount – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5d.		

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects. Two Projects totaling \$746,000. Resolution FA-03-__</li> <li>-- 11-SD-905 – SHOPP Project in San Diego County. Original vote \$6,100,000. Award amount \$5,751,000. Current allocation is \$6,526,100. This request of \$621,000 to closeout the construction contract results in an increase of 24% over the award amount.</li> <li>-- 11-SD-8 – SHOPP Project in San Diego County. Original vote \$2,258,000. Award amount \$2,525,500. Current allocation is \$2,645,500. This request of \$125,000 to closeout the construction contract results in an increase of 23% over the vote amount.</li> </ul>	2.5e.		A D
	<b><u>Informational Report on Allocations Under Delegated Authority</u></b>	2.5f.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Emergency: G-11 Allocations. Eight projects totaling \$5,679,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(1)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Seismic Retrofit: (Phase I): G-11 Allocations. One project for \$1,200,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(2)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Seismic Retrofit: (Proposition 192, Phase II): G-11 Allocation. One project for \$450,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(3)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on SHOPP Safety: G-01-10 Allocation. One project for \$1,786,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(4)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Local Assistance Allocation for Local Street and Road Pavement Rehabilitation: G-01-04 Allocations – <b><u>No Items This Month – Delegation Suspended through June 2004</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5f.(5)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Local Assistance Allocation for Project Development Components of Local Grant Projects: G-01-03 Allocations – <b><u>No Items This Month – Delegation Suspended through June 2004</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5f.(6)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Local Assistance Allocation for Rideshare Projects in the STIP: G-01-07 Allocations – <b><u>No Items This Month – Delegation Suspended through June 2004</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5f.(7)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Local Assistance Allocations for RSTP/CMAQ Match Reserve Projects: G-01-05 Allocations – <b><u>No Items This Month – Delegation Suspended through June 2004</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5f.(8)		

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
--	-------------------------	---------------	--	----------------

- Informational Report on Local Assistance Allocation for Planning, Programming and Monitoring Funds: G-01-06 Allocations – **No Items This Month – Delegation Suspended through June 2004** 2.5f.(9)

#### **Mass Transportation Financial Matters**

\$ 0 Total Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP)  
 \$ 9,500,000 Total State Transp. Improvement Program (STIP) Funds Requested for Allocation  
 \$ 0 Total Proposition 116 Program Funds Requested for Allocation  
 (\$ 0) Total Prop 116 De-Allocated Program Funds  
 \$ 0 Total Allocation Amendments  
 \$ 9,500,000 Grand Total State Allocation Designated for Allocation

#### **Financial Allocation for STIP Rail/Transit** 2.6a.

- Financial Allocation for Local STIP Rail/Transit Projects – **No Items This Month** 2.6a.(1) **A D**
- Financial Allocation for one STIP Intercity Rail Project in Ventura County for \$9,500,000. MFP-03-\_\_\_\_ 2.6a.(2) **A D**
- STIP Rail/Transit Advancement Projects – **No Items This Month** 2.6a.(3) **A D**

#### **Financial Allocation/Amendments for Proposition 116 Program – No Items This Month** 2.6b.

#### **Financial Amendment for Proposition 116 Program Projects – No Items This Month** 2.6c.

#### **Financial Allocation for Multi-Program Funded Projects – No Items This Month** 2.6d.

#### **Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocations / Allocation Amendments** 2.6e.

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocation Amendment Approval. Two allocation amendments, no net change in funding. Resolution TFP-03-03</li> </ul> <p>-- \$1,750,000 – Project #9.2 – Capitol Corridor; improve intercity rail line between Oakland and San Jose. Allocate a total \$1,750,000 to Phase 4 – Construction (CON) from TCRP Sub-project #9.3. Implementing Agency: Capitol Corridor Joint Powers Authority (CCJPA) (Related item under 2.1c.(5).)</p> <p>-- (\$1,750,000) – Project #9.3 – Capitol Corridor; improve intercity rail line between Oakland and San Jose. De-allocate (\$1,750,000) from Phase 1 – Environmental And Permits and Phase 2 – Plans, Specifications, and Estimates to be reallocated to TCRP Sub-project #9.2. Project phase(s) originally allocated under delegated authority. Implementing Agency: CCJPA (Related item under 2.1c.(5).)</p>	2.6e.		A C/D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Informational Report on Delegated Allocations for Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Projects, per Resolution G-02-10 – <b><u>No Items This Month – Delegation Suspended through June 2004</u></b></li> </ul>	2.6f.		
	<b><u>Aeronautics Financial Matters – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.7		
	<b><u>Time Extension Requests per CTC Resolution G-01-21, STIP Guidelines, Section 65 – Timely Use of Funds / Proposition 116 Waiver Requests / Miscellaneous Requests</u></b>	2.8		
	<b><u>Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.8a.		
	<b><u>Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award</u></b>	2.8b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for three local STIP projects totaling \$1,323,000. Waiver-03-23</li> </ul>	2.8b.		A D
	<b><u>Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion</u></b>	2.8c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion for one local STIP project in the City/County of San Francisco for \$3,986,000. Waiver-03-24</li> </ul>	2.8c.		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<b>Request to Extend the Period of Project Reimbursement – <u>No Items This Month</u></b>	2.8d.		
	<b>Request for Waiver to Resolution G-99-25, Commission's Guidelines for Allocating, Monitoring and Auditing of Funds for Local Assistance Projects</b>	2.8e		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for one local Proposition 116 project for the North County Transit District for \$2,877,462. Waiver-03-25</li> </ul>	2.8e.		<b>A D</b>
	<b>Technical Changes to Previously Approved Resolutions/Actions</b>	2.9		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Technical Correction to Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Allocation Amendment Resolution TFP-03-01, approved June 26, 2003. Fix error in funding plan previously presented for TCR Project #38.1 and TCR Project #97.2 to reflect correct amounts. Strikeout notation for TCR Project #97.2 to redistribute \$300,000 in TCR funds from Phase 4 – Construction to Phase 3 – Right of Way, as these phases have not yet been allocated. Resolution TFP-03-04, Amending Resolution TFP-03-01</li> </ul>	2.9a.		<b>A C/D</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend Resolution MFP-01-20 to rescind a \$750,000 allocation to the Los Angeles MTA for a Fuel Cell powered technology project and allocate \$750,000 to the Los Angeles MTA for a hybrid powered technology project. Resolution MFP-03-___, Amending Resolution MFP-01-20</li> </ul>	2.9b.		<b>A D</b>
	<b><u>PROGRAM STATUS</u></b>	3.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Caltrans Monthly FY 2003-04 Finance Report</li> </ul>	3.1		<b>I D</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but Not Awarded</li> </ul>	3.2		<b>I D</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action</li> </ul>	3.3		<b>I D</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Monthly Report on Local Assistance STIP Projects Previously Voted but Not Awarded</li> </ul>	3.4		<b>I D</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fourth Cycle AB 1012 Unobligated RSTP, CMAQ and Regional TEA "Use It or Lose It" Balance Report</li> </ul>	3.5		<b>I D</b>

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	• Updated FY 2003-04 and FY 2004-05 Cash Forecast Status	3.6	I	D
	• Fourth Cycle AB 1012 – Redirection of “Use it or Lose It” Funds Due to State Use of Local Obligation Authority Resolution G-03-____	3.7	A	D
	• Local Assistance Quarterly Lump Sum Allocation Status Report for 2002-03 Fiscal Year	3.8	I	D
	• 4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter Project Delivery Report	3.9	I	D
	• Intercity Rail FY 2002-03 4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter Delivery Report	3.10	I	D
	• FY 2003-04 Right of Way Allocation Impacts	3.11	I	D
	• Report on AB 3090 Cash Reimbursements and GARVEE Debt Service	3.12	I	C
	<b><u>POLICY MATTERS</u></b>	4.		
	• State Legislative Matters *	4.1	A	C
	-- Proposition 53: California Twenty-First Century Infrastructure Investment Fund			
	*The Commission may consider bills or resolutions newly introduced, recently amended, or calendared for Legislative committee or floor action, subsequent to publication of this agenda. For further information, call Chief Deputy Director Joan Borucki at (916) 653-3148.			
	• Traffic Congestion Relief Program Policy for Managing Cash Resolution G-03-____	4.2	A	C/D
	<b><u>List of Pending Allocations and Waiver/Time Extensions</u></b>	4.3		
	• Highway/Rail and Transit Pending Allocations – 373 project allocations totaling \$532,474,001	4.3a.	A	D
	• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Pending Allocations – 23 project allocations totaling \$172,951,000.	4.3b.	I	C/D
	• Aeronautics Account Pending Allocations – 51 project allocations totaling \$4,637,924.	4.3c.	I	D
	• 2003 Report of STIP Balances, County and Interregional Shares	4.4	I	C

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2004 Fund Estimate               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Overview</li> <li>-- Assumptions</li> <li>-- SHOPP Levels</li> <li>-- Fund Estimate / STIP Schedules</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <b><u>TIMED ITEM: Wednesday, September 24, at 2:00pm</u></b>	4.5		A D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Outline for Commission's 2003 Annual Report to Legislature</li> </ul>	4.6		A C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hearing and Adoption on FFY 2003-04 Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5310 Elderly and Disabled Transit Program Resolution G-03-____</li> </ul> <b><u>TIMED ITEM: Thursday, September 25, at 9:30am</u></b>	4.7		A C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Report on Investments to State Highway System by Outside Funding Sources</li> </ul>	4.8		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval of Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Biennial Update – Element of the California Aviation System Plan (CASP)</li> </ul>	4.9		A C/D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Report on Delegated Director's Deeds Sales for FY 2002-03</li> </ul>	4.10		I D
	<b><u>MODAL ISSUES</u></b>	5.		
	<b><u>Rail – No Items This Month</u></b>	5.1		
	<b><u>Highways</u></b>	5.2		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bi-Annual Report on Tri-County Partnership (Amador, Calaveras and Alpine Counties)</li> </ul>	5.2a.		I R
	<b><u>Transit – No Items This Month</u></b>	5.3		
	<b><u>PRESENTATIONS</u></b>			
	<b><u>OTHER MATTERS / PUBLIC COMMENT</u></b>	6.		